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# Introduction

1. This submission is made by the Australian Human Rights Commission, an ‘A status’ national human rights institution.
2. The Commission operates under the *Australian Human Rights Commission Act 1986* (Cth) (AHRC Act) and includes promoting compliance with the *Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women* (CEDAW).
3. The *Sex Discrimination Act 1984* (Cth) (SDA) gives effect to Australia’s international human rights commitments, including CEDAW, and includes as an objective the promotion of equality between men and women. The SDA protects people from discrimination on the basis of their sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, intersex status, marital or relationship status, pregnancy and breastfeeding. It also protects workers with family responsibilities and makes sexual harassment against the law.
4. The Commission has the power under the AHRC Act to investigate and conciliate complaints made under the SDA by people who experience direct or indirect discrimination.[[1]](#endnote-1)
5. The Commission welcomes the opportunity to provide a written contribution ahead of consideration of Australia’s 8th periodic report under CEDAW[[2]](#endnote-2) and looks forward to engaging with the Committee.
6. The Commission’s proposed recommendations are contained in the body of this submission and at **Attachment 1**.

# Priority areas

1. The matters addressed in this submission are all of importance to Australia’s compliance with CEDAW. However, the Commission wishes to draw the Committee’s attention to three areas of particular importance:
	1. The need to accelerate action to address the key issues facing Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and children, including targeted prevention and early intervention initiatives to reduce violence against Indigenous women and children (section 4.1, Recommendation 3(ii)); the high rates of contact with the child protection system (section 10, Recommendation 15); and the high rates of Indigenous women in the justice system (section 10, Recommendation 15).
	2. The need to ensure adequate measures for the prevention of and response to sexual harassment in the workplace, with consideration of the identified strategies in the Commission’s 2012 survey report and the forthcoming outcomes of the 2018 survey, as discussed in section 4.2 (see Recommendation 4).
	3. The need to address existing barriers to women’s equal participation in the workforce and the gender gap in retirement savings, as discussed in section 5 (see Recommendation 9).
2. **The Commission recommends that the Committee request an update from the Australian Government on progress in relation to the above priority areas in 12 months, under article 18 of CEDAW and Rule 48 of the Rules of Procedure.**

# Human Rights Framework

## Scrutiny of human rights and the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights (CO 17, CEDAW article 2, LOI 1)

1. The Commission commends the Australian Government for establishing the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights (PJCHR) in 2011.[[3]](#endnote-3)
2. The Commission is concerned that the PJCHR’s views and concerns do not always appear to be given sufficient consideration during the legislative process, and that it is possible for a bill to pass into law prior to the PJCHR releasing its conclusions in relation to the human rights compatibility of the bill.[[4]](#endnote-4) There is also variable quality in the drafting of statements of compatibility within and across Government departments. [[5]](#endnote-5)
3. The Commission notes that some other parliamentary committees also scrutinise legislation and consider compliance with human rights.[[6]](#endnote-6)

**Recommendation 1: That the Australian Government ensure that:**

* + - 1. **Concerns raised by the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights are fully considered in the legislative process.**
			2. **All statements of compatibility are consistently of a high standard and are supported by evidence and analysis.**

## Domestic incorporation (CO 15–17, 20–21, CEDAW Article 2, LOI 1)

1. The Commission welcomes the commitment of the Government to remove the reservation to CEDAW on women in defence combat roles,[[7]](#endnote-7) and encourages the Government to consider removing its reservation to article 11(2) on maternity leave with pay.
2. The operation of the SDA over the last 25 years shows that there are some limitations with the current form and content of Australia’s gender equality legislation.[[8]](#endnote-8)
3. The scrutiny provided for by the PJCHR is not a substitute for full incorporation of CEDAW into domestic law through mechanisms such as a national human rights Act.[[9]](#endnote-9) More recently, the Special Rapporteur on Violence against Women has recommended that Australia fully incorporate and implement CEDAW.[[10]](#endnote-10)
4. The Commission has made recommendations to improve the effectiveness of the SDA to ensure equality of women and men, and to ensure the Australian Government fully implements Australia’s international legal obligations under CEDAW.[[11]](#endnote-11)

**Recommendation 2: That the Australian Government:**

* + - 1. **Fully incorporate CEDAW into Australian law.**
			2. **Amend the *Sex Discrimination Act 1984* to improve its effectiveness, consistent with the Commission’s recommendations to Australia’s Second Universal Periodic Review.[[12]](#endnote-12)**

# Violence against women and girls and their health and wellbeing (CO 28–29, 40–43, CEDAW articles 1–5, 12 and 16, LOI 10–11, 21)

## Violence against women and girls

1. Violence against women in Australia, including family and domestic violence (FDV), sexual assault and sexual harassment, remains endemic and hinders the realisation of gender equality.[[13]](#endnote-13)
2. The Commission commends efforts made under the *National Plan to Reduce Violence against Women and their Children 2010–22* (the National Plan),[[14]](#endnote-14) the Council of Australian Government’s commitments under the Close the Gap framework,[[15]](#endnote-15) and the additional funding committed in 2015 to both prevention and response measures and services.[[16]](#endnote-16)
3. The intersection of gender with other forms of inequality results in women with disability, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, lesbian, bisexual, trans and intersex women (LGBTI), and women from culturally and linguistically diverse (CALD) backgrounds experiencing higher rates of FDV and other forms of violence, and additional barriers to seeking help and support.[[17]](#endnote-17) While the Commission welcomes targeted actions under the *Third Action Plan (2016-19)* (Third Action Plan)under the National Plan to address violence against women with disability,[[18]](#endnote-18) the Government did not accept a 2015 Senate inquiry recommendation that the National Plan be updated to include institutional and disability accommodation settings.[[19]](#endnote-19)
4. The Commission also notes that Indigenous women are at higher risk of violence, compared to the general population.[[20]](#endnote-20) The Commission has made a number of submissions that make recommendations to address violence against Indigenous women and their children,[[21]](#endnote-21) emphasising that any reforms must be developed in consultation with Indigenous women, in a manner consistent with the principle of free, prior and informed consent.[[22]](#endnote-22)
5. The Commission is also concerned about the lack of Australia-wide data on FDV related deaths. The Commission welcomes the Government’s funding to examine measures to ensure national coherence and collection of data on FDV deaths,[[23]](#endnote-23) and encourages the Government to act on the findings of the Commission’s review of Domestic Violence Death Review mechanisms in Australia, when released.

**Recommendation 3: That the Australian Government:**

* + - 1. **Undertake specific research to understand the nature and prevalence of family and domestic violence, sexual assault and sexual harassment for women and girls with disability, LGBTI women and girls, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and girls and women and girls from CALD backgrounds, and implement evidence-based policy responses to reduce violence against these groups of women.**
			2. **Accelerate action to reduce violence against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and children, including targeted prevention and early intervention initiatives, which must be developed in consultation with Indigenous women, in a manner consistent with the principle of free, prior and informed consent.**
			3. **Ensure that subsequent Action Plans to the National Plan support prevention and early intervention initiatives and improve support for women with disability who experience violence, including in institutional and disability accommodation settings.**
			4. **Act on the findings the Commission’s review of Domestic Violence Death Review mechanisms in Australia, when released.**

## Sexual harassment and sexual assault in the workplace and in university settings (LOI 16)

1. The Commission’s 2012 national workplace sexual harassment survey found that 21% of people in Australia had experienced sexual harassment since the age of 15, with the majority (68%) experiencing this harassment in the workplace.[[24]](#endnote-24) The survey found that one-third of women (33%) had experienced sexual harassment since the age of 15, compared to 9% of men.[[25]](#endnote-25)
2. The Commission commends the Government’s funding of the Commission’s 2018 survey, which is currently underway. As well as expanding the number of participants from 2,000 to 10,000, the survey will for the first time, provide additional data on sexual harassment within major industry sectors.

**Recommendation 4: That the Australian Government ensure there are adequate measures in place for the prevention of and response to sexual harassment in the workplace, with consideration of the identified strategies in the Commission’s 2012 survey report and the forthcoming outcomes of the 2018 survey.**

## Health and wellbeing of women and girls

1. The rate of suicide in women and girls is increasing and reached a 10-year high in 2015, at a rate of 6.1 deaths per 100,000 people. Two-thirds of intentional self-harm cases hospitalised in Australia in 2014–15 were female, with the highest number of cases occurring among females aged 15–19.[[26]](#endnote-26)
2. Young mothers are an at-risk group who frequently experience poor life outcomes, such as poverty and long-term welfare dependency,[[27]](#endnote-27) poor emotional health and wellbeing,[[28]](#endnote-28) and inability to complete study or gain secure employment.[[29]](#endnote-29) The Commission draws the Committee’s attention to the recommendations in Chapter 3 of the National Children’s Commissioner’s *Children’s Rights Report 2017*, relating to data and research, and policies and programs to support young parents and their children in Australia. [[30]](#endnote-30)
3. The Commission remains concerned that sterilisation of women and girls with disability, without consent, continues to occur in Australia.[[31]](#endnote-31)
4. The Commission is concerned that women born with intersex variations remain subject to medically unnecessary medical interventions in circumstances where they are unable to provide full and informed consent.[[32]](#endnote-32)

**Recommendation 5: That the Australian Government ensure appropriate mental health services for women and girls at risk of self-harm and suicide.**

**Recommendation 6: That the Australian Government support, and improve outcomes for, young mothers and their children, with particular reference to the recommendations in the *Children’s Rights Report 2017*.**

**Recommendation 7: That the Australian Government ensure adequate protections are in place to prevent involuntary or coerced sterilisation of women and girls with disability.**

**Recommendation 8: That the Australian Government ensure adequate legal and policy protections are in place to prevent medically unnecessary and/or harmful medical interventions on women and girls born with intersex variations.**

# Women and work (CO 38–39, LOI 16, CEDAW article 11)

1. There are a range of gender equality gaps in Australia that affect women’s rights to work, to just and favourable conditions of work and to adequate standard of living for themselves and their family.[[33]](#endnote-33)
2. The Commission has consistently highlighted issues for women in the workplace, including occupational segregation,[[34]](#endnote-34) the gender pay gap, the under-valuing of unpaid care work, the low representation in leadership and management positions,[[35]](#endnote-35) access to adequate paid parental leave and greater flexibility in how and when to take the leave,[[36]](#endnote-36) the absence of superannuation from paid parental leave,[[37]](#endnote-37) and the resulting gender gap in retirement savings.[[38]](#endnote-38)
3. The Commission has made a number of recommendations in key reports and submissions that are relevant to women’s economic security and workforce participation.[[39]](#endnote-39)
4. The Commission understands that there is currently uncertainty about future iterations of the Time Use study by the Australian Bureau of Statistics, which is an important record of women’s work and provided accurate data concerning the extent and distribution of unpaid work and its intersection with paid work.[[40]](#endnote-40)
5. The Commission is also concerned that there are currently no comprehensive coverage of workplace protections for employees who experience family and domestic violence (FDV).[[41]](#endnote-41) Women who experience FDV may face adverse treatment in the workplace that is specifically related to their experiences of violence. For example, they may be denied leave or flexible work arrangements to attend violence-related matters, such as moving into a shelter; they may have their employment terminated; or they may be transferred or demoted.[[42]](#endnote-42) This treatment can exacerbate the costs and consequences of FDV.[[43]](#endnote-43)

**Recommendation 9: That the Australian Government:**

* + - 1. **Implement targeted measures to reduce gender segregation in Australian workplaces.[[44]](#endnote-44)**
			2. **Implement targeted strategies to address the gender pay gap and women’s economic insecurity in retirement.[[45]](#endnote-45)**
			3. **Implement the relevant recommendations in the Supporting Working Parents: Pregnancy and Return to Work National Review Report.[[46]](#endnote-46)**
			4. **Provide for a minimum period of government-funded paid parental leave of 26 weeks, and an additional minimum of four weeks paid leave, which may only be taken by the supporting parent.**
			5. **Provide superannuation entitlements as part of paid parental leave.**
			6. **Consider removing the 12 month qualifying period for parental leave, and allow for greater flexibility in how and when to take the paid leave.**
			7. **Commit to funding future editions of the ABS Time Use study, to collect accurate data concerning the extent and distribution of unpaid work and its intersection with paid work.**
			8. **Include family and domestic violence leave in the National Employment Standards and modern awards.**
			9. **Recognise family and domestic violence as a protected attribute within existing anti-discrimination legislation and federal employment laws.**

# Discrimination faced by Muslim women (CEDAW articles 1–3, LOI 4)

1. Australian research has continued to find that Muslim women are frequent targets of bigotry and discrimination because of their visibility, particularly with the Islamic headscarf. They are particularly vulnerable when unaccompanied, with children, or pregnant at the time of the incident.[[47]](#endnote-47)

**Recommendation 10: That the Australian Government take steps to safeguard the rights of Muslim women and to eliminate the particular discrimination that they face.**

# Women in rural, regional and remote areas (CEDAW article 14)

1. National consultations by Sex Discrimination Commissioner in 2016 identified that women living in rural, regional and remote Australia experience negative and discriminatory gender-based stereotypes and attitudes.[[48]](#endnote-48) They also experience a gender gap in leadership, access to services, economic security and education; and higher rates of violence, sexual harassment and sexism.[[49]](#endnote-49)

**Recommendation 11: That the Australian Government examine strategies to address the particular discrimination faced by women in rural, regional and remote areas, specifically in the areas of violence against women and sexual harassment, and barriers to economic security.**

# Older women and homelessness (LOI 16, CEDAW articles 1 and 11)

1. The Commission’s *Willing to Work National Inquiry into Employment Discrimination against Older Australians and Australians with Disability* (Willing to Work Report)[[50]](#endnote-50) found that, while labour force participation for older people has been growing in recent years, particularly for older women, labour force participation continues to decline with age.[[51]](#endnote-51) Older, single women are increasingly vulnerable to housing stress, insecurity and homelessness.[[52]](#endnote-52)
2. The Commission is also concerned about elder abuse, which can include psychological/emotional abuse; physical abuse; sexual abuse; financial abuse; and neglect.[[53]](#endnote-53) Women, along with a number of other vulnerable groups, have heightened vulnerability to elder abuse.[[54]](#endnote-54)
3. The Commission welcomes the Australian Law Reform Commission’s (ALRC) report *Elder Abuse—A National Legal Response*,[[55]](#endnote-55) as well as the Government’s commitment to developing a national plan to better protect the rights of older Australians.[[56]](#endnote-56)

**Recommendation 12: That the Australian Government implement all of the recommendations of the Willing to Work Report.**

**Recommendation 13: That the Australian Government implement all of the recommendations of the ALRC’s report on Elder Abuse, including the development of a national plan to tackle elder abuse.**

# Human trafficking and modern slavery (CO 30–31, LOI 12, CEDAW article 6)

1. The Commission commends the Government’s development of a *National Action Plan to Combat Human Trafficking and Slavery 2015–2019*[[57]](#endnote-57)and supporting initiatives.[[58]](#endnote-58)
2. The Commission supports the recommendations of the Special Rapporteur on trafficking in persons,[[59]](#endnote-59) that the Australian Government establish a federal, comprehensive compensation scheme for survivors of trafficking.[[60]](#endnote-60)
3. The Commission also notes that unregulated international surrogacy arrangements raise concerns about the potential for the trafficking of women and children.[[61]](#endnote-61)

**Recommendation 14: That the Australian Government consider the development of a federal compensation scheme for survivors of human trafficking and slavery; and development of a regulatory regime addressing international surrogacy arrangements.**

# Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and girls (LOI 4 and 19, CEDAW articles 1–4, 11, 13 and 15)

1. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and girls continue to face systemic and structural barriers to the realisation of their human rights.
2. At 34% of the adult female prison population, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women are the fastest growing and most overrepresented prison population.[[62]](#endnote-62)
3. The Commission has consistently recommended that the Australian Government establish nationally agreed justice targets and strategies aimed at significantly reducing the number of Indigenous people in detention or gaol.[[63]](#endnote-63)
4. Indigenous women’s over-representation in the justice system is also a factor that contributes to Indigenous children being removed from their families and put into care in increasing numbers.[[64]](#endnote-64)
5. The Commission welcomes the findings of the Royal Commission into the Protection and Detention of Children in the Northern Territory[[65]](#endnote-65) and other recent inquiries into child protection that make recommendations for improving child safety and the treatment of children and young people in out of home care and detention facilities.[[66]](#endnote-66)
6. The Commission welcomes the recommendations of the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse to respond to sexual abuse of children within private, public and non-government institutions and ensure child safe organisations into the future.[[67]](#endnote-67)
7. The Australian Government’s social security measures, including the Cashless Debit Card, continue to have a disproportionate impact on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and, in particular, Indigenous women.[[68]](#endnote-68) Where the Cashless Debit Card takes a blanket mandatory approach and is not a community-led initiative, this disproportionately affects the most vulnerable—such as Indigenous women and single parents.[[69]](#endnote-69)
8. The Commission has also raised concerns about the impact of the Australian Government’s Community Development Programme on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, which disproportionately affects the most vulnerable such as Indigenous women and single parents,[[70]](#endnote-70) and has made detailed recommendations for a new model.[[71]](#endnote-71)

**Recommendation 15: That the Australian Government:**

* + - 1. **Engage with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women in the development of all policies and services that affect them, consistent with the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.**
			2. **Establish national justice targets, including specifically relating to Indigenous women, and adequate services, to reduce the overrepresentation of Indigenous peoples in the justice system.**
			3. **Ensure appropriate support for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, children and their families to reduce high rates of contact with the child protection system.**
			4. **Work with state and territory governments to respond to the recommendations of the Royal Commission into the Protection and Detention of Children in the Northern Territory, and the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse, particularly as they relate to child protection issues.**

**Recommendation 16: That the Australian Government reform the Cashless Debit Card model to a voluntary, opt-in welfare income management measure, which is community-led.**

**Recommendation 17: That the Australian Government reform the Community Development Programme to reflect a human rights based, community-led, wage-based model.**

# Immigration and citizenship (LOI 22, CEDAW article 16)

1. The Commission has concerns about a range of immigration policies that can lead to prolonged family separation, with detrimental impacts on (often vulnerable) women and children.[[72]](#endnote-72)
2. Numerous reports and inquiries have consistently documented concerns about living conditions for people subject to third country processing in Nauru.[[73]](#endnote-73) There have been several incidents in which people (including women) have reportedly been physically attacked or sexually assaulted.[[74]](#endnote-74)

**Recommendation 18: That the Australian Government implement specific measures to avoid family separation resulting from immigration policies.**

**Recommendation 19: That the Australian Government ensure that the human rights of people subject to third country processing are adequately protected.**

# Attachment 1: Compilation of Recommendations

# Priority areas

**The matters addressed in this submission are all of importance to Australia’s compliance with CEDAW. However, the Commission wishes to draw the Committee’s attention to three areas of particular importance:**

* + - 1. **The need to accelerate action to address the key issues facing Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and children, including targeted prevention and early intervention initiatives to reduce violence against Indigenous women and children (section 4.1, Recommendation 3(ii)); the high rates of contact with the child protection system (section 10, Recommendation 15); and the high rates of Indigenous women in the justice system (section 10, Recommendation 15).**
			2. **The need to ensure adequate measures for the prevention of and response to sexual harassment in the workplace, with consideration of the identified strategies in the Commission’s 2012 survey report and the forthcoming outcomes of the 2018 survey, as discussed in section 4.2 (see Recommendation 4).**
			3. **The need to address existing barriers to women’s equal participation in the workforce and the gender gap in retirement savings, as discussed in section 5 (see Recommendation 9).**

**The Commission recommends that the Committee request an update from the Australian Government on progress in relation to the above priority areas in 12 months, under article 18 of CEDAW and Rule 48 of the Rules of Procedure.**

**Recommendation 1: That the Australian Government ensure that:**

* + - 1. **Concerns raised by the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights are fully considered in the legislative process.**
			2. **All statements of compatibility are consistently of a high standard and are supported by evidence and analysis.**

**Recommendation 2: That the Australian Government:**

* + - 1. **Fully incorporate CEDAW into Australian law.**
			2. **Amend the *Sex Discrimination Act 1984* to improve its effectiveness, consistent with the Commission’s recommendations to Australia’s Second Universal Periodic Review.[[75]](#endnote-75)**

**Recommendation 3: That the Australian Government:**

* + - 1. **Undertake specific research to understand the nature and prevalence of family and domestic violence, sexual assault and sexual harassment for women and girls with disability, LGBTI women and girls, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and girls and women and girls from CALD backgrounds, and implement evidence-based policy responses to reduce violence against these groups of women.**
			2. **Accelerate action to reduce violence against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and children, including targeted prevention and early intervention initiatives, which must be developed in consultation with Indigenous women, in a manner consistent with the principle of free, prior and informed consent.**
			3. **Ensure that subsequent Action Plans to the National Plan support prevention and early intervention initiatives and improve support for women with disability who experience violence, including in institutional and disability accommodation settings.**
			4. **Act on the findings the Commission’s review of Domestic Violence Death Review mechanisms in Australia, when released.**

**Recommendation 4: That the Australian Government ensure there are adequate measures in place for the prevention of and response to sexual harassment in the workplace, with consideration of the identified strategies in the Commission’s 2012 survey report and the forthcoming outcomes of the 2018 survey.**

**Recommendation 5: That the Australian Government ensure appropriate mental health services for women and girls at risk of self-harm and suicide.**

**Recommendation 6: That the Australian Government support, and improve outcomes for, young mothers and their children, with particular reference to the recommendations in the *Children’s Rights Report 2017*.**

**Recommendation 7: That the Australian Government ensure adequate protections are in place to prevent involuntary or coerced sterilisation of women and girls with disability.**

**Recommendation 8: That the Australian Government ensure adequate legal and policy protections are in place to prevent medically unnecessary and/or harmful medical interventions on women and girls born with intersex variations.**

**Recommendation 9: That the Australian Government:**

* + - 1. **Implement targeted measures to reduce gender segregation in Australian workplaces.[[76]](#endnote-76)**
			2. **Implement targeted strategies to address the gender pay gap and women’s economic insecurity in retirement.[[77]](#endnote-77)**
			3. **Implement the relevant recommendations in the Supporting Working Parents: Pregnancy and Return to Work National Review Report.[[78]](#endnote-78)**
			4. **Provide for a minimum period of government-funded paid parental leave of 26 weeks, and an additional minimum of four weeks paid leave, which may only be taken by the supporting parent.**
			5. **Provide superannuation entitlements as part of paid parental leave.**
			6. **Consider removing the 12 month qualifying period for parental leave, and allow for greater flexibility in how and when to take the paid leave.**
			7. **Commit to funding future editions of the ABS Time Use study, to collect accurate data concerning the extent and distribution of unpaid work and its intersection with paid work.**
			8. **Include family and domestic violence leave in the National Employment Standards and modern awards.**
			9. **Recognise family and domestic violence as a protected attribute within existing anti-discrimination legislation and federal employment laws.**

**Recommendation 10: That the Australian Government take steps to safeguard the rights of Muslim women and to eliminate the particular discrimination that they face.**

**Recommendation 11: That the Australian Government examine strategies to address the particular discrimination faced by women in rural, regional and remote areas, specifically in the areas of violence against women and sexual harassment, and barriers to economic security.**

**Recommendation 12: That the Australian Government implement all of the recommendations of the Willing to Work Report.**

**Recommendation 13: That the Australian Government implement all of the recommendations of the ALRC’s report on Elder Abuse, including the development of a national plan to tackle elder abuse.**

**Recommendation 14: That the Australian Government consider the development of a federal compensation scheme for survivors of human trafficking and slavery; and development of a regulatory regime addressing international surrogacy arrangements.**

**Recommendation 15: That the Australian Government:**

* + - 1. **Engage with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women in the development of all policies and services that affect them, consistent with the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.**
			2. **Establish national justice targets, including specifically relating to Indigenous women, and adequate services, to reduce the overrepresentation of Indigenous peoples in the justice system.**
			3. **Ensure appropriate support for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, children and their families to reduce high rates of contact with the child protection system.**
			4. **Work with state and territory governments to respond to the recommendations of the Royal Commission into the Protection and Detention of Children in the Northern Territory, and the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse, particularly as they relate to child protection issues.**

**Recommendation 16: That the Australian Government reform the Cashless Debit Card model to a voluntary, opt-in welfare income management measure, which is community-led.**

**Recommendation 17: That the Australian Government reform the Community Development Programme to reflect a human rights based, community-led, wage-based model.**

**Recommendation 18: That the Australian Government implement specific measures to avoid family separation resulting from immigration policies.**

**Recommendation 19: That the Australian Government ensure that the human rights of people subject to third country processing are adequately protected.**

1. Further information about the Commission’s complaints function can be found at [www.humanrights.gov.au](http://www.humanrights.gov.au). [↑](#endnote-ref-1)
2. This submission is based on work that has been undertaken by the Commission in accordance with its mandate and functions, or otherwise on publicly available information. The Commission has brought the issues raised in this submission to the attention of the Australian Government.

This submission provides information concerning sex discrimination experienced by key population groups in Australia and other thematic issues relating to sex discrimination. In relation to each section, the Commission has, where appropriate, referred to the relevant articles of CEDAW engaged, the relevant paragraph of the Committee’s concluding observations on Australia’s sixth and seventh reports (**CO**) and the Committee’s list of issues (**LOI**) and questions in relation to the eighth periodic report of Australia. [↑](#endnote-ref-2)
3. The PJCHR analyses bills and legislative instruments introduced into the federal Parliament for compliance with human rights.

The *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011* (Cth) requires each bill, regulation and ordinance introduced into Parliament to be accompanied by a statement of compatibility with human rights, defined as the seven core international human rights instruments to which Australia is a party.

See: *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011* (Cth), ss 8, 9. At <https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2011A00186> (viewed 11 September 2017). [↑](#endnote-ref-3)
4. George Williams and Daniel Reynolds, ‘The Operation and Impact of Australia’s Parliamentary Scrutiny Regime for Human Rights’ (2015) 41(2) *Monash University Law Review* 469, 477, 506–7. At <http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/MonashULawRw/2015/17.html> (viewed 11 September 2017). [↑](#endnote-ref-4)
5. George Williams and Daniel Reynolds, ‘The Operation and Impact of Australia’s Parliamentary Scrutiny Regime for Human Rights’ (2015) 41(2) *Monash University Law Review* 469, 474–5. At <http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/MonashULawRw/2015/17.html> (viewed 11 September 2017).

For example, some statements of compatibility devote cursory attention to assessing a draft law’s identified impingement on human rights and some simply assert (without due explanation) that a draft law is compatible with human rights even where an impingement on rights has been acknowledged.
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The Fair Work Commission did not grant this claim for paid FDV leave, however accepted that employees should be entitled to unpaid FDV leave. See: Fair Work Commission, Decision – 4 yearly review of modern awards – Family & Domestic Violence Leave Clause (AM2012/1) (3 July 2017). At: <https://www.fwc.gov.au/awards-agreements/awards/modern-award-reviews/4-yearly-review/common-issues/am20151-family-and> (viewed 30 May 2018). [↑](#endnote-ref-41)
42. Australian Human Rights Commission, Submission to the Australian Law Reform Commission, *Inquiry into Family Violence and Commonwealth Laws* (21 April 2011), [47]. At: <http://www.humanrights.gov.au/legal/submissions/2011/20110421_family_violence.html> (viewed 30 May 2018). See also Donna Chung et al, *Home Safe Home: The link between domestic and family violence and women’s homelessness* (2000). At <http://wesnet.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/homesafehome.pdf> (viewed 30 May 2018). [↑](#endnote-ref-42)
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44. Specifically, the Commission has made the following recommendations in its [submission to the Finance and Public Administration References Committee inquiry into gender segregation in the workplace and its impact on women’s economic equality](https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Economics/Economic_security_for_women_in_retirement/Submissions) (2017):

Actions supporting the reduction of gender segregation in Australian workplaces should be included as a priority in the forthcoming 2018-19 Implementation Plan for the Government Women’s Workforce Strategy.

The Commonwealth Government should develop and commit to a strategy for becoming a model ‘industry’ in reducing gender segregation:

as an employer (roles and occupations, management levels, flexibility, parental leave arrangements/inducements for men etc)

in policy and program design/development, and

when contracting (impose contractual terms requiring demonstrated efforts to improve gender balance to 40-40-20 in organisations engaged by Government.)

The Government should fund a quantitative and qualitative study into the features of male and female-dominated workplaces industries and barriers to employees entering non-traditional fields. The study should build on the data held by the Workplace Gender Equality Agency, and of the relevant inquiries and reports previously conducted by the AHRC.

Based on this study, a report should be prepared for Parliament, including:

proposed guidelines on the use of special measures to reduce gender segregation (i.e. using special measures to support women in male dominated and men in female dominated workplaces, including in non-paid caring roles), and

Options for facilitating relationships between employers and women’s networks to workshop solutions to segregation in male dominated industries, and similar with male workers in female dominated industries.

Research should be conducted on whether there is higher prevalence of sexual harassment/sex discrimination in gender segregated workplaces, and if so, recommendations for change

Noting that, as a first step, the Commission’s 2017 workplace sexual harassment prevalence survey, to be conducted mid-year, can examine this issue.

The Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade should partner with the Commission to consult internationally on special measures to reduce workplace gender segregation, focusing on States comparable to Australia in this context. [↑](#endnote-ref-44)
45. The Commission draws the Committee’s attention to recommendations made in the following submissions and reports, insofar as they remain relevant to addressing women’s economic security, including in retirement:

[*Submission to the Productivity Commission on the Inquiry into Paid Maternity, Paternity and Parental Leave*](http://www.humanrights.gov.au/submission-inquiry-paid-maternity-paternity-and-parental-leave-2008) (24 November 2008).

[*Investing in care: Recognising and valuing those who care*](https://www.humanrights.gov.au/sites/default/files/UnpaidCaringVolume1_2013.pdf) (2013).

[*Supporting Working Parents: Pregnancy and Return to Work National Review Report*](file:///%5C%5Cfs%5Cspt%5CFinal%20products%5CSubmissions%5CSubmissions%202018%5CCEDAW%2070th%20session%20-%20Australia%5C%3A%20https%3A%5Cwww.humanrights.gov.au%5Cour-work%5Csex-discrimination%5Cpublications%5Csupporting-working-parents-pregnancy-and-return-work) (2014), and the relevant recommendations directed at government.

[*Willing to Work: National Inquiry into Employment Discrimination Against Older Australians and Australians with Disability*](https://www.humanrights.gov.au/our-work/age-discrimination/projects/willing-work-national-inquiry-employment-discrimination-against) (2015).

[*Submission to the Senate inquiry into the economic security for women in retirement*](https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Economics/Economic_security_for_women_in_retirement/Submissions) (2015).

[*Submission to the Finance and Public Administration References Committee inquiry into gender segregation in the workplace and its impact on women’s economic equality*](https://www.humanrights.gov.au/subject-index-submissions-commonwealth-parliament) (2017).

The Commission also recommends the following reforms to the superannuation system:

Removal of the $450 superannuation guarantee threshold,

Payment of a superannuation contribution on parental leave, family care leave and workers compensation,

The Low Income Superannuation Contribution be extended permanently,

The payment of superannuation on carer payments is costed with a view to implementation, and

Encourage employers to implement initiatives aimed at reducing the gap in retirement savings. [↑](#endnote-ref-45)
46. The relevant recommendations are:

	1. Amend the S*ex Discrimination Act 1984* (Cth) (SDA) to:
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	* introducing a positive duty on employers to reasonably accommodate a request for flexible working arrangements
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	1. Clarify the provisions under the National Employment Standards of FWA to:
	* allow employees to use existing personal/carer leave entitlements under s97 of the FWA to attend prenatal appointments (including IVF)
	* allow employee breaks from work for the purposes of breastfeeding or expressing.
	1. Increase understanding of legal requirements to not discriminate on the basis of pregnancy and return to work including by:
	* developing guidance material for employers in relation to their legal obligations and in relation to the work, health and safety needs or requirements of pregnant employees, employees undergoing IVF and employees returning to work after miscarriage or childbirth (including employees who are breastfeeding). This guidance material should be developed with a view to introducing a ‘code of practice’ to have effect under Work Health and Safety laws in every jurisdiction.
	1. Allocate funding to conduct a regular national prevalence survey on discrimination related to pregnancy, parental leave and return to work after parental leave (every four years)
	2. Conduct further research into identified gaps, such as the most effective mechanisms for reducing the vulnerability of pregnant women, employees on parental leave and working parents to redundancy and job loss. [↑](#endnote-ref-46)
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The Commission’s submission to the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet in relation to the Discussion Paper on remote employment and participation, in which it was recommended that a new CDP model:

Apply a human rights based approach (with a particular focus on the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples).

Reflect active consideration to the proposal of the Aboriginal Peak Organisations Northern Territory.

Support Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community-led and controlled approaches, including the nature of approved work activities, in a manner consistent with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people’s right to self-determination and the principle of free, prior and informed consent.

Be informed by local and Indigenous knowledge and experiences about the needs and aspirations of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and their communities.
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74. See, for example, Michael Edwards, ‘Tensions on Nauru heightened after series of attacks on refugees by locals’, *ABC News* (online) 20 March 2015. At <http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-03-20/tensions-high-on-nauru-after-attacks-on-refugees/6334234> (viewed 17 May 2018); Hayden Cooper, ‘Raped on Nauru: Video captures moment Somali refugee pleads for help from police after alleged sexual assault’, *ABC News* (online) 28 September 2015. At <http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-09-28/two-refugees-allegedly-raped-on-nauru/6809956> (viewed 17 May 2018); Ginny Stein, ‘Nauru rape case: Police “stopped off to watch firework show” before ferrying Iranian asylum seeker to station’, *ABC News* (online) 22 October 2015. At <http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-10-21/alleged-shortfalls-in-treatment-of-nauru-rape-victim/6872582> (viewed 17 May 2018). [↑](#endnote-ref-74)
75. Australian Human Rights Commission, *Submission under the Universal Periodic Review Process: Australia’s Second Universal Periodic Review* (2015), 18. At: <https://www.humanrights.gov.au/submissions/australia-s-second-universal-periodic-review> (viewed 31 May 2018). [↑](#endnote-ref-75)
76. Specifically, the Commission has made the following recommendations in its [submission to the Finance and Public Administration References Committee inquiry into gender segregation in the workplace and its impact on women’s economic equality](https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Economics/Economic_security_for_women_in_retirement/Submissions) (2017):

Actions supporting the reduction of gender segregation in Australian workplaces should be included as a priority in the forthcoming 2018-19 Implementation Plan for the Government Women’s Workforce Strategy.

The Commonwealth Government should develop and commit to a strategy for becoming a model ‘industry’ in reducing gender segregation:

as an employer (roles and occupations, management levels, flexibility, parental leave arrangements/inducements for men etc)

in policy and program design/development, and

when contracting (impose contractual terms requiring demonstrated efforts to improve gender balance to 40-40-20 in organisations engaged by Government.)

The Government should fund a quantitative and qualitative study into the features of male and female-dominated workplaces industries and barriers to employees entering non-traditional fields. The study should build on the data held by the Workplace Gender Equality Agency, and of the relevant inquiries and reports previously conducted by the AHRC.

Based on this study, a report should be prepared for Parliament, including:

proposed guidelines on the use of special measures to reduce gender segregation (i.e. using special measures to support women in male dominated and men in female dominated workplaces, including in non-paid caring roles), and

options for facilitating relationships between employers and women’s networks to workshop solutions to segregation in male dominated industries, and similar with male workers in female dominated industries.

Research should be conducted on whether there is higher prevalence of sexual harassment/sex discrimination in gender segregated workplaces, and if so, recommendations for change, noting that, as a first step, the Commission’s 2017 workplace sexual harassment prevalence survey, to be conducted mid-year, can examine this issue.

The Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade should partner with the Commission to consult internationally on special measures to reduce workplace gender segregation, focusing on States comparable to Australia in this context. [↑](#endnote-ref-76)
77. The Commission draws the Committee’s attention to recommendations made in the following submissions and reports, insofar as they remain relevant to addressing women’s economic security, including in retirement:

[*Submission to the Productivity Commission on the Inquiry into Paid Maternity, Paternity and Parental Leave*](http://www.humanrights.gov.au/submission-inquiry-paid-maternity-paternity-and-parental-leave-2008) (24 November 2008).

[*Investing in care: Recognising and valuing those who care*](https://www.humanrights.gov.au/sites/default/files/UnpaidCaringVolume1_2013.pdf) (2013).

[*Supporting Working Parents: Pregnancy and Return to Work National Review Report*](file:///%5C%5Cfs%5Cspt%5CFinal%20products%5CSubmissions%5CSubmissions%202018%5CCEDAW%2070th%20session%20-%20Australia%5C%3A%20https%3A%5Cwww.humanrights.gov.au%5Cour-work%5Csex-discrimination%5Cpublications%5Csupporting-working-parents-pregnancy-and-return-work) (2014), and the relevant recommendations directed at government.

[*Willing to Work: National Inquiry into Employment Discrimination Against Older Australians and Australians with Disability*](https://www.humanrights.gov.au/our-work/age-discrimination/projects/willing-work-national-inquiry-employment-discrimination-against) (2015).

[*Submission to the Senate inquiry into the economic security for women in retirement*](https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Economics/Economic_security_for_women_in_retirement/Submissions) (2015).

[*Submission to the Finance and Public Administration References Committee inquiry into gender segregation in the workplace and its impact on women’s economic equality*](https://www.humanrights.gov.au/subject-index-submissions-commonwealth-parliament) (2017).

The Commission also recommends the following reforms to the superannuation system:

Removal of the $450 superannuation guarantee threshold,

Payment of a superannuation contribution on parental leave, family care leave and workers compensation,

The Low Income Superannuation Contribution be extended permanently,

The payment of superannuation on carer payments is costed with a view to implementation, and

Encourage employers to implement initiatives aimed at reducing the gap in retirement savings. [↑](#endnote-ref-77)
78. The relevant recommendations are:

	1. Amend the S*ex Discrimination Act 1984* (Cth) (SDA) to:
	* extend the discrimination ground of ‘family responsibilities’ under the SDA to include indirect discrimination, and
	* include a positive duty on employers to reasonably accommodate the needs of workers who are pregnant and/or have family responsibilities.
	1. Strengthen the ‘right to request’ provisions under s 65 of the *Fair Work Act 2009* (Cth) (FWA) by:
	* removing the qualification requirements in section 65(2)(a) of the FWA (i.e. the requirements for 12 months continuous service)
	* introducing a positive duty on employers to reasonably accommodate a request for flexible working arrangements
	* establishing a procedural appeals process through the Fair Work Commission for decisions related to the right to request flexible working arrangements to ensure processes set out in the FWA have been complied with.
	1. Clarify the provisions under the National Employment Standards of FWA to:
	* allow employees to use existing personal/carer leave entitlements under s97 of the FWA to attend prenatal appointments (including IVF)
	* allow employee breaks from work for the purposes of breastfeeding or expressing.
	1. Increase understanding of legal requirements to not discriminate on the basis of pregnancy and return to work including by:
	* developing guidance material for employers in relation to their legal obligations and in relation to the work, health and safety needs or requirements of pregnant employees, employees undergoing IVF and employees returning to work after miscarriage or childbirth (including employees who are breastfeeding). This guidance material should be developed with a view to introducing a ‘code of practice’ to have effect under Work Health and Safety laws in every jurisdiction.
	1. Allocate funding to conduct a regular national prevalence survey on discrimination related to pregnancy, parental leave and return to work after parental leave (every four years)
	2. Conduct further research into identified gaps, such as the most effective mechanisms for reducing the vulnerability of pregnant women, employees on parental leave and working parents to redundancy and job loss. [↑](#endnote-ref-78)