

From: [Australian Human Rights Commission](#)
To: [tech](#)
Subject: Webform submission from: Consultation
Date: Monday, February 3, 2020 1:31:32 PM

Submitted on Mon, 02/03/2020 - 07:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Contact Details

Name

Peter Caine

Address

[REDACTED]

Email address

[REDACTED]

Telephone number

[REDACTED]

Organisation Details(where applicable)

Is this submission presented on behalf of an organisation:

No

Nature of submission: public or confidential

I have read the information about this Project concerning publication, confidentiality, and privacy obligations at

Yes

Nature of submission

My submission is public

response

response_box

I have experienced a few problems with companies like Qantas that have jumped hestly into the bebenefits of IA and it was deplorable. Unless the technology is not fulptooof companies are

going to implement it to save money like they did with the internet and outsourcing, that is cheap human AI from the Philippines that is deplorable... The problem of AI is not the tech but the humans, called CEO that use it to impose their discretionary rules with only one way benefit for their companies. Today's most dangerous humans besides politicians are the CEO with benefits packages that enter into millions always paid by us, the users to make the company more profitable by always dismissing staff and increasing prices, Qantas and Post Office being the most remarkable. So AI is welcome as long it is controlled by an AI commission that will analyse the data and that it used for the benefit of the users and not at the discretion of the CEO. I can assert because I am 83 years old and I lived in Australia since 1968 and I have seen more dead PM than our present PM living PM in his short political career, they all had one thing in common, their ego first the country second and that's is irrefutable, so imagine an AI with an implanted artificial ego? You'll never know either predict what a despotic young programmer is capable of code writing for money. I have already observed with algorithms written to benefit the company no matter what the user try to achieve, Airlines like Qantas are notorious at using it under the orders of the CEO. The mathematician that do it should be made accountable for it, after all AI can not operate without the input of a human brain to become intelligent and it is always in those human that produces the result, nobody knew that better than the genius mind of Hitler, the fascist NAZI doctrine was programmed by one human brain, then the flowers made it a reality, that danger persist today in the world new generation affected by older generations. I believe that today's democracy is a cover up for more sinister political cover up to breach our human rights with brain washing and what better than using AI to cover up? Is it not apparently non human?

Peter Caine, copyrights free with mention.

PS besides my previous submission I would like to add that due to my age of living longer than many Australians to date, adding that being European and very pragmatic with an unorthodox education by Australian standards, and speaking 3 languages with embedded 3 cultures I can assert that my human rights were affected in my 52 years of Australian life, by the government, the police, the local council, hospital admission, many Australian Authorities bodies that are suppose to protect our rights failed to act when I most needed it. There are individuals that their own interests or prejudices or bias are more important than I was, I also noticed that women were far more effective at it than men were, there is definitely an inborn inherited mental state where women do not like losing either contradicted without being confronting, in one word no woman likes losing and that includes my sister and my mother and every other woman I had the misfortune to have an argument with, couples arguments being the most remarkable even in the streets.